

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,374	09/20/2000		Thomas F. DeRosa	D#00013 (538-44)	6175
75	590	11/.14/2002			
Michael E Car		•	EXAMINER		
Dilworth & Barrese 333 Earle Ovington Blvd				MEDLEY, MARGARET B	
Uniondale, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1714	9

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		429
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/666,374	DEROSA ET AL.
Office Acti n Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Margaret B. Medley	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	August 2002 and 05 Noven	<u>nber 2002</u> .
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Objects and Condition of Objects and the condition of Objects and the condit		
Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(a) 4 27 in/ore pending in the application	<b></b>	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr</li> </ul>		
	awii iioiii consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rainatad	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,14,17-25,27 and 30-37</u> is/are r	_	
7) Claim(s) <u>11-13,15,16,26,28 and 29</u> is/are obj		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No
Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B     See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language p	* =	
Attachment(s)	· •	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	rummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>7</u> . Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The rejections under 35 U. S. C. 112, second paragraph and the 35 U. S. C. 103 (a) are withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments to the claims and arguments made or record.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-10, 14, 17-25, 27 and 30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Ahmed 6,074,445.

Ahmed teaches a method for operating an engine, a method for reducing friction in an engine, see example 4 of column 10, and a fuel composition comprising a hydrocarbon fuel containing preferably about 25 to about 40 % by volume of a fuel grade alcohol, e. g. ethanol, see column 6, line 60 to column 7, lines 1-2 and 18-22 and including oxygenated gasoline and diesel fuels, see examples 1 and 2 of columns 7 and 8, and an additive comprising a Superamide of an alkyl ester of a fatty acid and an alcohol amine, see column 4, lines 15-23, a higher alcohol and an ethoxylated fatty acid, see examples 1 and 2 of columns 7-8. Patentee further includes a co-solvent e.g. MTHF, see column 7, lines 1-17. The methods and fuel compositions of Ahmed anticipates instant claims 1-8, 10, 17-25 and 30-37.

Art Unit: 1714

Ahmed further teaches that the additive may be mixed with a known oxygenated fuel in a volumetric ratio of about 1-1000 to about 1-100, see the bridging paragraph of columns 5-6, that anticipates instant claims 9, 14 and 27.

Patentee clearly does not teach the addition of MTBE as the oxygenated additive in its fuel composition and therefore anticipates the instant claims requirement that the fuel compositions and methods to be substantially free of MTBE.

The open-ended language "comprising" of the instant claims would not exclude the fatty acid additives of Ahmed from the instant claims compositions and methods.

Instant claims 11-13, 15-16, 26 and 28-29 appear to contain allowable subject matter over the prior art made or record.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-37 remain provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-40 of copending Application No. 09/708,237. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Art Unit: 1714

they are not patentably distinct from each other because of reasons made of record in Paper No. 5 dated 22 May 2002.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 29 August 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The double patent rejection is maintains for reason made of record in Paper No. 5 dated 22 May 2002. The terminal disclaimer is required once the application matures into a Patent and in the event that the Patents are not maintained by the same assignee for example in the event that one of the Patent may be sold to another assignee or company during the life of the Patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret B. Medley whose telephone number is 703-308-2518. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

> Margaret B. Medley // Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

Page 5

Margaret B. Medley November 13, 2002